Big Data and Nursing Practice: Essential Tool or Overload?

Big data is the buzzword describing massive data sets that require special processing to provide useful information. It has been used to analyze voter characteristics and predict elections; to examine patterns of crime in different communities by comparing to demographics such as income, education, and housing type; and in a variety of healthcare applications, such as investigating patterns of childhood vaccination to determine if there are correlations to religious preference, public or private school, parents’ education, and even the supermarket at which they shop. Sickweather, com scans social media for evidence of illness and maps it, much like weather radar. In 2012-2013, Sickweather picked up the early flu season about six weeks before CDC. There is, typically, a two-week lag between what health care professionals see in real-time and the CDC’s statistics. While data analyses provide useful information that can guide practice, the 21st century has given us another concept – information overload. Where is the line between useful and overwhelming?

Nurses do the Work

The first legislation to support health information technology passed ten years ago. Since then, with significant changes to Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement and the Affordable Care Act, electronic medical records (EMR) are standard. The majority of documentation comes from nurses – whether through checklists, narrative notes or scanned data. The quality of this data capture is critical for it determines both reimbursement rates and public reporting scores. If the EMR does not accurately capture nursing care quality, the hospital will suffer financially. This is the leverage nurses can use to insist on a “seat at the table” when hospitals choose or modify EMR systems, when workflow changes are required, and to discuss how hardware and software support or impede bedside practice.

Nurses’ Role in Clinical Data Analysis

The QSEN project – quality and safety education for nurses – began with a grant in 2006 to prepare nurses to continually improve the quality and safety of the healthcare systems in which they work.2 QSEN competencies include using data to monitor outcomes, making changes to care processes that improve quality and safety, and using informatics to improve care. Thus, nurses have a professional responsibility to not just accept the quality monitoring the EMR vendor offers (dashboards and report cards) but to think about the needs of their patient population and identify areas where data monitoring can help improve outcomes. For example, there is no point in tracking patient falls or catheter-associated urinary tract infections in a neonatal ICU, but they may be the two key indicators for an inpatient geriatric unit.

The tricky part is linking data to outcomes. Many dashboards or report cards track whether patients are assessed for risk for impaired skin integrity or fall risk. While those assessments are necessary, they are not contributing to patient safety or quality care if they do not drive nursing care. The key metric is whether an assessment indicating risk then changes the plan of care to include risk reduction strategies and if they prevent the fall or skin damage. That’s how to close the circle that opens with the initial assessment.

If a patient does fall, for example, the RN should immediately huddle with the care team for a quick cause analysis: Did the patient’s condition change since the assessment, requiring different precautions? Were the precautions appropriate, but not followed? If not followed, is there a lack of understanding or a system problem that prevented proper care such as insufficient staffing? Rather than waiting for a monthly meeting, or leaving it to the risk manager, this instant analysis by the people providing the hands-on care can distil actionable data that can change the care plan on the spot.

When Is It Too Much?

In these cases, data analysis and information management have a direct link to improving patient care in real-time. But what happens when you’re overwhelmed with information and your work is regularly interrupted by new bits of random information on patients while you are trying to document care or pass meds? She can have visitors now. His labs just came back. He needs to go to the bathroom. Where’s Dr. X? She wants pain meds. He’s not responding!!! And, of course, there’s alarm fatigue – like the boy who cried wolf, we naturally become insensitive to those repeated alerts for technical issues unrelated to the patient’s condition.

The Cognitive Work of Nursing Framework (CWNF) has been developed to address how nurses consider the rate and volume of information presented to them.3 The framework includes work complexity, clinical reasoning, cognitive stacking, clinical judgment, and nursing care delivery. Cognitive stacking is a method of prioritizing – the decision-making nurses do on a minute-by-minute basis as they constantly rearrange tasks in their mind.

The authors note this framework addresses the “invisible” part of nursing practice – the part that non-nurses can’t comprehend. This is the critical aspect of practice that is significantly affected by experience. As Benner’s work taught us, the novice nurse is characterized by treating each piece of data as an individual point with equal weight while the expert nurse typically does not even note discrete data.
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In the Literature

Reviewing Fluid Splashes and Splatters
The current issue of American Nurse Today includes a report from the Exposure Prevention Information Network (EPINet) covering the most recent five-year period for which data are available, 2009-2013. EPINet tracks blood and body fluid exposures occurring in U.S. hospital workers, allowing hospitals to benchmark their exposure data. What was the most prevalent exposure? Bloody urine. The workers’ most common exposed body part? The eye.

How Well Do Cleaning Wipes Work?
In the current issue of AJIC, researchers from the Center for Devices and Radiological Health report their study evaluating how disinfecting wipes perform when used on a medical device surface. They applied three different bacteria to an anesthesia machine and objects representing smooth and ridged knobs. The study compared five brands of disinfecting wipes and water-soaked gauze. Any wipe cleaned better than no wipe, but physical removal with wet gauze was often as effective as disinfecting wipes. The details make this a must-read to inform practice.
Sources: Gonzalez EA et al: Ability of cleaning-disinfecting wipes to remove bacteria from medical device surfaces. AJIC 2015;43(12):1331-1335.

Mask Ventilation Can Be Life or Death
In emergency situations, successful bag-valve-MASK ventilation can be the difference between survival or death. Nurses are often responsible for proper mask use in the critical minutes following an unplanned extubation. The current issue of Respiratory Care includes an important review of techniques to optimize mask ventilation in three situations: an inadequate seal on the face, increased airway resistance, and decreased pulmonary compliance. While mask ventilation is not common in critical care, as it is in anesthesia, this article would make an ideal basis for a review of essential bedside skills.
Sources: Saddawi-Konefka D et al: Optimizing mask ventilation: literature review and development of a conceptual framework. Respiratory Care 2015;60(12):1834-1840. PubMed Citation

CABG or PCI?
Stanford researchers published their Coronary Heart Disease Procedure Predictor (see Hlatky, p. 3) online at:
http://med.stanford.edu/hsr/cabg-pci/

Anybody Sick Nearby?
Sickweather uses an algorithm to mine data from social media to map sickness in the U.S. You can stay up with the latest online, with an app, or a widget on a Web site. And if you don’t already get enough in your Inbox, you can even subscribe to e-mail alerts.
http://www.sickweather.com

Combining Surgery and Data Analysis
Ewen Harrison, an Edinburgh surgeon, has a particular interest in science and statistics. He focuses on population-based surgical outcome studies – examining the factors that influence how well patients do after surgery. He regularly publishes thoughtful articles on effectively communicating with data at:
http://www.datasurg.net/

Caring About Caregivers
EPINet was founded in 1991 at the University of Virginia to track healthcare workers’ bloodborne pathogen exposure. It is the longest-standing database following these injuries. The Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act of 2000 and 2001’s revised Bloodborne Pathogens Standard require logs of healthcare worker exposure. EPINet offers free software that is now used in more than 1500 hospitals in the U.S. The International Safety Center relaunched in 2015 as a stand-alone nonprofit organization dedicated to collecting and analyzing data that identify risks to healthcare workers.
http://internationalsafetycenter.org/about/
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points. Instead, the expert instantly sees the “big picture,” in which relevant data create a pattern, and irrelevant data are discarded.4 For example, in a study of nurses caring for patients with chest tubes, nurses who were not completely comfortable with different aspects of chest tube care took longer to perform routine chest tube nursing tasks than those who were completely comfortable with the care.5

Snapshots of Big Data in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery

Researchers at Stanford University analyzed more than 100,000 Medicare records and developed an online Coronary Heart Disease Procedure Calculator. It considers various patient-specific factors and advises whether patients with those factors do better with CABG or PCI (see On the Web).6

A student’s doctoral research in epidemiology used publicly available U.S. data to assess the prevalence of benign disease by state after resection for suspected lung cancer.7 Overall, 9.1% of 25,362 patients did not have lung cancer. The surgical mortality rate was 2.1%; 46 people died after surgery for noncancerous lesions. There was a wide variation among states. The fewest false positives occurred in Vermont (1.2%) and the most in Hawaii (25%). The national median was 8.8%. By using sophisticated analysis to examine multiple data sets, this researcher raises important questions about screening for this deadly disease, how to proceed after a suspicious scan, and the critical need to determine why there is such a variation in different states. Is there a regional difference in chronic fungal infections that look suspicious for cancer on imaging? Does local customary practice speed the path to lung resection? This researcher is examining multi-year data to try to answer these questions.

In 2013, researchers examined data on mortality and morbidity for pediatric cardiac surgery in the wake of unexpected deaths of children undergoing surgery in multiple U.K. hospitals. This led to a greater understanding of the importance of high volume and resulted in closing three hospitals’ congenital heart surgery programs to enhance patient safety.8-11

Microsoft’s UK Chief Envisioning Officer describes a project in which data on patients having heart surgery were analyzed. Those with a history of mental illness or depression had longer recovery after heart surgery; the hospital has begun designing special recovery programs for these patients.12

Japanese scientists have used supercomputers to develop over 100 trillion possible responses of cardiac muscle cells to pacemaker stimuli. From this calculation, they created a simulation of how a person with ventricular dyssynchrony will respond to different placements of three electrodes. This allows them to individualize electrode placement for each patient.13

Data, Data Everywhere

In today’s healthcare environment, there is no shortage of data. There are more data than any nurse could process in a meaningful way. In many cases, the data explosion has widened the gap between managers—who speak of benchmarks, various accrediting bodies, and an endless supply of acronyms—and bedside practitioners who are still talking about pain, comfort, blood pressure, resuscitation, and sleep. Information is only useful if the recipient can receive and process the information; it must have meaning to the nurse and fit into the patient context.
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