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Abstract

Methods: From May 2003 to December 2004, 457 major thoracic surgical procedures were performed at our institution. Besides excessive chest tube fluid drainage or air leak, 50 patients otherwise met criteria for discharge. After receiving general information on a small, portable chest tube system (Atrium Medical Corporation, Hudson, NH), 36 patients (mean age 55.6±15.9 years) consented to be discharged with the device. These patients received more specific written instructions and demonstrated competence on system use. Patients returned for chest tube removal after satisfactory resolution of air or fluid drainage.

Results: Postoperative outpatient chests tube management accounted for a total of 404 days (mean 11.2±1 days, range 3-36 days). There were no major complications or complications directly attributed to the device itself. Four (11%) patients experienced minor complications. Thirty-two (89%) patients experienced uneventful and successful outpatient chest tube management. Conclusions: These data suggest that successful postoperative outpatient chest tube management can be accomplished in select patients. Not only does this program result in substantial hospital cost reduction, but also enhances patient satisfaction by allowing earlier discharge.

Introduction

Excessive fluid drainage or air leak will occasionally prevent timely hospital discharge following thoracic surgery which leads to increased length of stay associated with significant healthcare costs and potential patient dissatisfaction. Heimlich valves connected to urinary collection bags and similar devices have been previously used in these situations which allows hospital discharge with an indwelling chest tube (CT).

We recently implemented an outpatient chest tube program using a new portable and closed drainage system.

The Atrium Express™ Mini 500 chest tube system is a small lightweight waterless device with a 500ml collection volume.

It has an air leak detection window with a dry one-way valve equivalent to a traditional underwater seal chamber; however unlike an underwater seal chamber is not dependant on a stable horizontal position. The CT system can be attached to the body via provided straps or hook which further facilitates ambulation.

Discussion

Although not considered a complication of the outpatient CT program per se, a patient with a prolonged alveolar air leak after pulmonary resection required pleurodesis with talc slurry through the indwelling chest tube for failure to demonstrate resolution on the 55th postoperative day (25th outpatient day). Finally, all patients in this series reported good to excellent mobility with this device and were appreciative of early hospital discharge.

Results

Postoperative outpatient CT management accounted for a total of 404 days (mean 11.2±1.8 days/patient; range 3-36 days). Patients discharged with alveolar air leak had a longer duration of outpatient CT management (mean 17.2±11.2 days/patient; range 8-36 days) as compared to patients discharged with excessive fluid drainage (mean 10.3±7.3 days/patient; range 3-34 days).

Outpatient CT management during this time period saved over $262,000 in hospital charges and resulted in a cost savings of $650/day (general unit room charge). Figure 1 illustrates both the length of hospital stay (mean 10.4±4.5 days/patient) and duration of outpatient CT management of all patients in this series.

There were no major or life-threatening complications. No patients experienced complications as a result of system malfunction. Four (11%) patients experienced minor complications. As previously noted, one patient experienced a pneumothorax requiring brief rehospitalization for application of suction to the unit after tube disconnection early in the series. One patient discharged with an alveolar air leak after lobectomy developed a localized empyema on postoperative day 17 (outpatient day 8) which was successfully treated by percutaneous drainage and intravenous antibiotics. One patient was briefly readmitted for pain control and another treated as an outpatient for cellulitis at the CT-site skin.

Discussion

Over the past decade third party payers have pressured hospitals to discharge patients at lower costs and increased utilization of outpatient care. This has prompted some institutions to “fast track” patients including rapid CT removal.[5] Until more precise criteria for CT removal are established which take not only qualitative fluid or air drainage, but specific operative and patient demographic variables under consideration, we believe a conservative approach will minimize recurrent effusions or pneumothorax following premature CT removal which includes the use of a comprehensive outpatient CT program. With more aggressive surgical approaches being utilized in the treatment of solid intrathoracic malignancies, we furthermore believe that an increasing number of patients will be appropriately managed in the outpatient setting with CT drainage. These data demonstrate promising preliminary results using a novel closed CT drainage device for the outpatient management of select patients with excessive fluid or air leaks after a variety of thoracic surgical procedures. Not only does this program result in substantial hospital cost reduction, but also enhances patient satisfaction by allowing earlier discharge.
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